(SOLE INVENTOR) Atty. Docket No.: END920030094

Declaration and Power of Attorney for Patent Application

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: DYNAMIC TRANSACTION CONTROL WITHIN A HOST TRANSACTION PROCESSING SYSTEM

PROCESSI	NG SYSTEM			THE
the specifica	ation of which (check			
X	is attached hereto.			
	was filed on		as Application Serial No	and was amended on
I hereby sta by any amer	te that I have reviewed and ndment referred to above.	understand the conte	ents of the above-identified spe	cification, including the claims, as amended
l acknowleds Federal Reg	ge the duty to disclose inforr pulations, §1.56.	nation which is mater	ial to the patentability of this ap	olication in accordance with Title 37, Code o
	im foreign priority benefits u ted below and have also ide pplication on which priority i		d States Code, §119 of any for eign application for patent or inv	reign application(s) for patent or inventor's entor's certificate having a filing date before
Pr	ior Foreign Application(s):			
	umber DNE	Country	Day/Month/Year	Priority Claimed
first paragrap application a	oh of Title 35, United States	Code, §112, I ackno	wledge the duty to disclose info	olication(s) listed below and, insofar as the es application in the manner provided by the ormation material to the patentability of this en the filing date of the prior application and
Pri	ior U.S. Applications:			
	rial No. NE	Filing Dat	e	Status
punishable b		both under Section	1001 of Title 18 of the United	tements made on information and belief are ul false statements and the like so made are 1 States Code and that such willful false
36,493, Jose Redmond, Jr. 47,558, Charl Reg. No. 41,1 and/or agents corresponden	ph Petrokaitis, Reg. No. 38 , Reg. No 18,753, Andrew I les J. Gross, Reg. No. 52,97 40, Richard S. Meyer, Reg. s to prosecute this applicat	8,995, John E. Hoel, 8,995, John E. Hoel, 72, Scott J. Hawranel No. 32,541, Hae-Cha ion and transact all GuireWoods I.I. P. 13	35,539, William H. Steinberg, R. Reg. No. 26,279, Christopher D. 38,093, S. Luke Anderson, F. K. Reg. No. 52,411, Maryam M. Reg. No. 50,114 and M. Business in the Patent and T. Steinberg	chn R. Pivnichny, Reg. No. 43,001, Arthur J. eg. No. 28,540, JoAnn K. Crockatt, Reg. No. A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914, Joseph C. leg. No. 44,507, Scott A. Felder, Reg. No. Ipakchi, Reg. No. 51,835, Philip D. Lane, lark J. Young, Reg. No. 39,436 as attorneys ademark Office connected therewith. All 00, McLean, Virginia, 22102. Phone calls
1) Inve	entor: Paul Snyder			
Sig	nature:	22		265EPT03
Res	sidence: 114 Cambridge Dr	ive, Mechanicsburg,	PA 17055	Date
Citiz	zenship: United States of Ar	merica		
Pos	t Office Address: Same as	above		

1

(SOLE INVENTOR) Atty. Docket No.: END920030094

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.